

Physics 780 – General Relativity
Solution Set R

43. [15] This problem has a lot to do with units. The goal is to keep careful track of them.
 (a) Working in SI units, if you have a charge q , what is the electric field at a distance r ? Compare with our formula for the electric field for the Reissner-Nordström. At least at large r , they should be the same. Based on this, find a formula relating Q to q .

In SI units, the electric field from a point charge q is $q/4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2$. We would expect, at least at large r , for this to match the electric field we have. Matching the two formulas, we have

$$\frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0} r^2} \quad \text{so} \quad Q = \frac{q}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}}.$$

The formula works at all r , which we wouldn't have expected.

- (b) You're not done with units! Because we are working in general relativity, there can easily be some factors of c , the speed of light hidden in your formula for part (a). Given that GQ^2 has units of m^2 , revise your formula from part (a) by adding an appropriate power of c to the relationship you found there.

Naively, keeping track *only* of units, we would naively have

$$GQ^2 = \frac{Gq^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sim \frac{(\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}) \cdot \text{C}^2}{\text{m}^{-3} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^2 \text{C}^2} \sim \frac{\text{m}^6}{\text{s}^4}.$$

This is not units of m^2 , but we can make it so by dividing by c^4 , so we must have

$$GQ^2 = \frac{Gq^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4} \sim \frac{(\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}) \cdot \text{C}^2}{\text{m}^{-3} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^2 \text{C}^2 \cdot (\text{m/s})^4} \sim \text{m}^2.$$

Converting backwards, we now have

$$Q = \frac{q}{c^2 \sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}}.$$

- (c) At large distances, we can use classical formulas to calculate forces. Suppose a black hole of mass M and charge q is so charged up that a proton with mass m and charge e far from the black hole feels exactly balancing forces from gravity and electromagnetism. What is the ratio q/M for this black hole in C/kg ? You can use classical formulas, since we are far from the black hole.

The gravitational force between a black hole and a proton is GMm_p/r^2 . The electric force is $qe/4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2$. We equate these to find

$$\frac{GMm_p}{r^2} = \frac{qe}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2},$$

$$\frac{q}{M} = \frac{4\pi G\epsilon_0 m_p}{e} = \frac{4\pi(6.674 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2})(8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^2 \text{ C}^2)(1.673 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg})}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}}$$

$$= 7.752 \times 10^{-29} \text{ C/kg}$$

(d) For the black hole in part (c), find the value of $Q/(M\sqrt{G})$. You may have to include factors of c to make this expression dimensionless.

Substituting in from before and not worrying about factors of c , we have

$$\frac{Q}{M\sqrt{G}} = \frac{q}{M\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0 G}} = \frac{7.752 \times 10^{-29} \text{ C/kg}}{\sqrt{4\pi(6.674 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2})(8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^2 \text{ C}^2)}} = 8.996 \times 10^{-19}.$$

Remarkably, we didn't have to cancel anything out. Obviously, if you try to charge up your black hole by dropping protons into it, you are going to end up with a minuscule charge compared to your mass.

44. Although the Kerr metric is not diagonal, it is diagonal on the z -axis, $\theta = 0$. By symmetry, any object moving along the z -axis will continue moving along the z -axis. (a) Find the metric and the inverse metric on the z -axis as a function of r . Ignore the $g_{\phi\phi}$ part of the metric. As a check, you should find that $g^{tt} = -g_{rr}$.

We simply replace $\sin^2 \theta = 0$ and $\cos^2 \theta = 1$. Then $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2$, and the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GMr}{r^2 + a^2}\right) dt^2 + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr} dr^2 + (r^2 + a^2) d\theta^2.$$

The $d\phi^2$ term disappears from the metric, but we understand that that is just an effect of the bad coordinates at $\theta = 0$. Since we have no motion in the θ or ϕ -direction, it is irrelevant. Since it's diagonal, we can just take the inverse, which gives

$$g^{tt} = -\frac{r^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr}, \quad g^{rr} = \frac{r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr}{r^2 + a^2}, \quad g^{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{r^2 + a^2}.$$

(b) As usual, since we have a time translation symmetry, ∂_t , there will be a conserved component of the four-velocity, whose value we will call $-E$. If an object starts at rest from infinity, what is E ?

Because ∂_t is a Killing vector, $U_t = -E$ will be constant. At infinity, an object at rest will have all the space components $U^i = 0$, and since space-time is asymptotically flat, $U^t = 1$, and therefore $U_t = -E = -1$, so $E = 1$.

- (c) By demanding that $U^\mu U_\mu = -1$, find a formula for U^r as a function of r for an object that starts at rest at infinity. Note that we are assuming $U^\phi = U^\theta = 0$.**

Keeping in mind that the metric is diagonal, we have

$$-1 = g^{tt}U_tU_t + g_{rr}U^rU^r = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr} \left[(U^r)^2 - 1 \right],$$

$$(U^r)^2 = 1 - \frac{r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr}{r^2 + a^2} = \frac{2GMr}{r^2 + a^2},$$

$$U^r = \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \sqrt{\frac{2GMr}{r^2 + a^2}}.$$

- (d) Find a formula for the time it takes to fall from a distance r to $r = 0$. It will be an integral that you probably can't do.**

We simply write the integral as

$$\tau = \int d\tau = \int dr \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^{-1} = \int dr \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + a^2}{2GMr}}.$$

I was unable to do this integral, though Maple gave me some incomprehensible expression in terms of incomplete elliptic integrals.

- (e) Even if you can't do the integral, convince yourself that it is finite. I did it by setting $r = ax^2$, and then convincing myself that the resulting integral was finite for any finite r .**

If we substitute $r = ax^2$, we find

$$\tau = \int 2ax \, dx \sqrt{\frac{x^4 a^2 + a^2}{2GM a x^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2a^3}{GM}} \int_0^{\sqrt{r/a}} dx \sqrt{x^4 + 1}.$$

Though I can't do this integral, the integrand is clearly finite, so we are done.