

Physics 745 - Group Theory  
Solution Set 23

1. [20] The group  $SU(2)$  shows up in surprising places. Consider, for example, the two-dimensional harmonic oscillators, which can be written in the form

$$H = \hbar\omega(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + 1)$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are two operators satisfying

$$[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad [a_i, a_j] = [a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger] = 0$$

By conventional means, it is not hard to show that this Hamiltonian results in degenerate eigenvalues. But why? Is there a symmetry which results in this degeneracy?

(a) [8] Define the three operators

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1), \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \frac{i}{2}(a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2), \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2).$$

Show that these operators satisfy the  $SU(2)$  commutation relations,

$$[\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}_b] = i \sum_c \varepsilon_{abc} \mathcal{T}_c.$$

This is three relations in all.

We simply start working them out:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2] &= \frac{i}{4} [a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2] = \frac{i}{4} \{ [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_1] - [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_2] \} = \frac{i}{2} [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_1] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \{ a_1^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger a_1] + [a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger a_1] a_2 \} = \frac{i}{4} \{ a_1^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger] a_1 + a_2^\dagger [a_1^\dagger, a_1] a_2 \} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \{ a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2 \} = i\mathcal{T}_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3] &= \frac{i}{4} [a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2] \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \{ [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_1] - [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_2] - [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1] + [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_2] \} \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \{ a_2^\dagger [a_1, a_1^\dagger] a_1 - a_2^\dagger [a_2^\dagger, a_2] a_1 - a_1^\dagger [a_1^\dagger, a_1] a_2 + a_1^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger] a_2 \} \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \{ a_2^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_1 + a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_1^\dagger a_2 \} = i\mathcal{T}_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}_3, \mathcal{T}_1] &= \frac{1}{4} [a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ [a_1^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_2] + [a_1^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_1] - [a_2^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_2] - [a_2^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_1] \} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ a_1^\dagger [a_1, a_1^\dagger] a_2 + a_2^\dagger [a_1^\dagger, a_1] a_1 - a_1^\dagger [a_2^\dagger, a_2] a_2 - a_2^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger] a_1 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_2^\dagger a_1 + a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_2^\dagger a_1 \} = i\mathcal{T}_2 \end{aligned}$$

It was tedious, but straightforward.

(b) [8] Show that all three of the operators commute with the Hamiltonian.

Obviously, the 1 term commutes. We therefore need only consider the other two.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathcal{T}_1, H] &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega [a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1] + [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_2] + [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_1] + [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_2] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ a_1^\dagger [a_1^\dagger, a_1] a_2 + a_1^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger] a_2 + a_2^\dagger [a_1, a_1^\dagger] a_1 + a_2^\dagger [a_2^\dagger, a_2] a_1 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ -a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_1 \right\} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathcal{T}_2, H] &= \frac{i}{2} \hbar \omega [a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2] \\
 &= \frac{i}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_1^\dagger a_1] + [a_2^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_2] - [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1] - [a_1^\dagger a_2, a_2^\dagger a_2] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{i}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ a_2^\dagger [a_1, a_1^\dagger] a_1 + a_2^\dagger [a_2^\dagger, a_2] a_1 - a_1^\dagger [a_1^\dagger, a_1] a_2 - a_1^\dagger [a_2, a_2^\dagger] a_2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{i}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_1 + a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_1^\dagger a_2 \right\} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[\mathcal{T}_3, H] = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega [a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2] = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \left\{ [a_1^\dagger a_1, a_2^\dagger a_2] - [a_2^\dagger a_2, a_1^\dagger a_1] \right\} = 0.$$

It immediately follows that we can choose, for example, eigenstates of  $H$  that are also eigenstates of  $\mathcal{T}_3$  and  $\mathcal{T}^2$ , which we would write as  $|j, m\rangle$ , with  $m$  running from  $-j$  to  $+j$ . At the moment, however, we have no idea what the energy of these states will be, though we *do* know they will be  $2j + 1$  degenerate.

(c) [4] There is a simple relationship between the Hamiltonian and the generators, namely

$$\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{T}_1^2 + \mathcal{T}_2^2 + \mathcal{T}_3^2 = \frac{1}{4} [H^2 / \hbar^2 \omega^2 - 1]$$

**Demonstrating this is straightforward but laborious. Using this relationship, find the possible eigenvalues of  $H$ , and their degeneracy, using only your knowledge of the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{T}^2$ .**

The eigenstates will have energies  $E$ . If we put the eigenstate  $|j, m\rangle$  on the right, we know the eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{T}^2$  will be  $j^2 + j$ . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}^2 |j, m\rangle &= \frac{1}{4} [H^2 / \hbar^2 \omega^2 - 1] |j, m\rangle, \\
 (j^2 + j) |j, m\rangle &= \frac{1}{4} [E^2 / \hbar^2 \omega^2 - 1] |j, m\rangle, \\
 4j^2 + 4j &= E^2 / \hbar^2 \omega^2 - 1, \\
 E^2 / \hbar^2 \omega^2 &= 4j^2 + 4j + 1 = (2j + 1)^2, \\
 E &= (2j + 1) \hbar \omega
 \end{aligned}$$

So the energies will be positive integers times  $\hbar \omega$ . The degeneracy is  $2j + 1$ .