# EVERY GRAPH HAS AN EMBEDDING IN $S^{3}$ CONTAINING NO NON-HYPERBOLIC KNOT 

ERICA FLAPAN AND HUGH HOWARDS

In contrast with knots, whose properties depend only on their extrinsic topology in $S^{3}$, there is a rich interplay between the intrinsic structure of a graph and the extrinsic topology of all embeddings of the graph in $S^{3}$. For example, it was shown in [2] that every embedding of the complete graph $K_{7}$ in $S^{3}$ contains a non-trivial knot. Later in [3] it was shown that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a complete graph $K_{n}$ such that every embedding of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$ contains a knot $Q$ (i.e., $Q$ is a subgraph of $\left.K_{n}\right)$ such that $\left|a_{2}(Q)\right| \geq m$, where $a_{2}$ is the second coefficient of the Conway polynomial of $Q$. More recently, in [4] it was shown that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a complete graph $K_{n}$ such that every embedding of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$ contains a knot $Q$ whose minimal crossing number is at least $m$. Thus there are arbitrarily complicated knots (as measured by $a_{2}$ and the minimal crossing number) in every embedding of a sufficiently large complete graph in $S^{3}$.

In light of these results, it is natural to ask whether there is a graph such that every embedding of that graph in $S^{3}$ contains a composite knot. Or more generally, is there a graph such that every embedding of the graph in $S^{3}$ contains a satellite knot? Certainly, $K_{7}$ is not an example of such a graph since Conway and Gordon [2] exhibit an embedding of $K_{7}$ containing only the trefoil knot. In this paper we answer this question in the negative. In particular, we prove that every graph has an embedding in $S^{3}$ such that every non-trivial knot in that embedding is hyperbolic. Our theorem implies that every graph has an embedding in $S^{3}$ which contains no composite or satellite knots. By contrast, for any particular embedding of a graph we can add local knots within every edge to get an embedding such that every knot in that embedding is composite.

Let $G$ be a graph. There is an odd number $n$, such that $G$ is a minor of $K_{n}$. We will show that for every odd number $n$, there is an embedding of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$ such that every non-trivial knot in that embedding of $K_{n}$ is hyperbolic. It follows that there is an embedding of $G$ in $S^{3}$ which contains no non-trivial non-hyperbolic knots.

Let $n$ be a fixed odd number. We begin by constructing a preliminary embedding of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$ as follows. Let $h$ be a rotation of $S^{3}$ of order $n$ with fixed point set $\alpha \cong S^{1}$. Let $V$ denote the complement of an open regular neighborhood of the fixed point set $\alpha$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be points in $V$ such that for each $i, h\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{i+1}$ (throughout the paper we shall consider
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our subscripts $\bmod n$ ). These $v_{i}$ will be the vertices of the preliminary embedding of $K_{n}$.

Definition 1. By a solid annulus we shall mean a 3-manifold with boundary which can be parametrized as $D \times I$ where $D$ is a disk. We use the term the annulus boundary of a solid annulus $D \times I$ to refer to the annulus $\partial D \times I$. The ends of $D \times I$ are the disks $D \times\{0\}$ and $D \times\{1\}$. If $A$ is an arc in a solid annulus $W$ with one endpoint in each end of $W$, and $A$ co-bounds a disk in $W$ together with an arc in $\partial W$, then we say that $A$ is a longitudinal arc of $W$.

As follows, we embed the edges of $K_{n}$ as simple closed curves in the quotient space $S^{3} / h=S^{3}$. Observe that since $V$ is a solid torus, $V^{\prime}=V / h$ is also a solid torus. Let $D^{\prime}$ denote a meridional disk for $V^{\prime}$ which does not contain the point $v=v_{1} / h$. Let $W^{\prime}$ denote the solid annulus $\operatorname{cl}\left(V^{\prime}-D^{\prime}\right)$ with ends $D_{+}^{\prime}$ and $D_{-}^{\prime}$. Since $n$ is odd, we can choose unknotted simple closed curves $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ in the solid torus $V^{\prime}$ such that each $S_{i}$ contains $v$ and has winding number $n+i$ in $V^{\prime}$, the $S_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint except at $v$, and for each $i, W^{\prime} \cap S_{i}$ is a collection of $n+i$ untangled longitudinal arcs (see Figure 1).


Figure 1. For each $i, W^{\prime} \cap S_{i}$ is a collection of $n+i$ untangled longitudinal arcs.

We define two additional simple closed curves $J^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$ whose intersections with $W^{\prime}$ are illustrated in Figure 1 as follows. First, choose a simple closed curve $J^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$, whose intersection with $W^{\prime}$ is a longitudinal arc which is disjoint from and untangled with $S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Next we let $C^{\prime}$ be the unknotted simple closed curve in $W^{\prime}-\left(S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{2} \cup J^{\prime}\right)$ whose projection is illustrated in Figure 1. In particular, $C$ contains one half twist between $J^{\prime}$ and the set of arcs of $S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ which do not contain $v$, another half twist between those arcs of $S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and the set of arcs
containing $v$, and $r$ full-twists between each of the individual arcs of $S_{i}$ and $S_{i+1}$ containing $v$. We will determine the value of $r$ later.

Each of the $\frac{n-1}{2}$ simple closed curves $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ lifts to a simple closed curve consisting of $n$ consecutive edges of $K_{n}$. The vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ together with these $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ edges gives us a preliminary embedding $\Gamma_{1}$ of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$.

Lift the meridional disk $D^{\prime}$ of the solid torus $V^{\prime}$ to $n$ disjoint meridional disks $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ of the solid torus $V$. Lift the simple closed curve $C^{\prime}$ to $n$ disjoint simple closed curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$, and lift the simple closed curve $J^{\prime}$ to $n$ consecutive $\operatorname{arcs} J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n}$ whose union is a simple closed curve $J$. The closures of the components of $V-\left(D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}\right)$ are solid annuli, which we denote by $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{n}$. The subscripts of all of the lifts are chosen consistently so that for each $i, v_{i} \in W_{i}, C_{i} \cup J_{i} \subseteq W_{i}$, and $D_{i}$ and $D_{i+1}$ are the ends of the solid annulus $W_{i}$. For each $i$, the pair $\left.\left(W_{i}-\left(C_{i} \cup J_{i}\right),\left(W_{i}-\left(C_{i} \cup J_{i}\right)\right) \cap \Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ is homeomorphic to ( $W^{\prime}-\left(C^{\prime} \cup\right.$ $\left.\left.J^{\prime}\right),\left(W^{\prime}-\left(C^{\prime} \cup J^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap\left(S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\right)$. For each $i$, the solid annulus $W^{\prime}$ contains $n+i-1$ arcs of $S_{i}$ which are disjoint from $v$. Hence each edge of the embedded graph $\Gamma_{1}$ meets each solid annulus $W_{i}$ in at least one arc not containing $v_{i}$.

Let $\kappa$ be a simple closed curve in $\Gamma_{1}$. For each $i$, we let $k_{i}$ denote the set of those arcs of $\kappa \cap W_{i}$ which do not contain $v_{i}$, and let $e_{i}$ denote either the single arc of $\kappa \cap W_{i}$ which does contain $v_{i}$ or the empty set if $v_{i}$ is not on $\kappa$. Observe that since $\kappa$ is a simple closed curve, it contains at least three edges of $\Gamma_{1}$; and as we observed above, each edge of $\kappa$ contains at least one arc of $k_{i}$. Thus for each $i, k_{i}$ contains at least three arcs. Either $e_{i}$ is empty, the endpoints of $e_{i}$ are in the same end of the solid annulus $W_{i}$, or the endpoints of $e_{i}$ are in different ends of $W_{i}$. We illustrate these three possibilities for ( $W_{i}, C_{i} \cup J_{i} \cup k_{i} \cup e_{i}$ ) In Figure 2 as forms a), b) and c) respectively. The number of full-twists represented by the labels $t, u, x$, or $z$ in Figure 2 is some multiple of $r$ depending on the particular simple closed curve $\kappa$.


Figure 2. The forms of $\left(W_{i}, C_{i} \cup J_{i} \cup k_{i} \cup e_{i}\right)$.

For each of the forms of ( $W_{i}, C_{i} \cup J_{i} \cup k_{i} \cup e_{i}$ ) illustrated in Figure 2, we will associate an additional arc and an additional collection of simple closed curves as follows (illustrated in Figure 3). Let the arc $B_{i}$ be the core of a solid annulus neighborhood of the union of the arcs $k_{i}$ in $W_{i}$ such that $B_{i}$ is disjoint from $J_{i}, C_{i}$, and $e_{i}$. Let the simple closed curve $Q$ be obtained from $C_{i}$ by removing the full twists $z, x, t$, and $u$. Let $Z, X, T$, and $U$ be unknotted simple closed curves which wrap around $Q$ in place of $z, x, t$, and $u$ as illustrated in Figure 3.


Figure 3. The forms of $W_{i}$ with associated simple closed curves and the arc $B_{i}$.

For each $i$, let $M_{i}$ denote an unknotted solid torus in $S^{3}$ obtained by gluing together two identical copies of $W_{i}$ along $D_{i}$ and $D_{i+1}$, making sure that the end points of the arcs of $J_{i}, B_{i}$, and $e_{i}$ match up with their counterparts in the second copy to get simple closed curves $j, b$, and $E$ respectively in $M_{i}$. Thus $M_{i}$ has a $180^{\circ}$ rotational symmetry around a horizontal line which goes through the center of the figure and the end points of both copies of $J_{i}, B_{i}$, and $e_{i}$. Recall that in form a), $e_{i}$ is the empty set, and hence so is $E$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}, X_{1}$ and $X_{2}, Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}, T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, and $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ denote the doubles of the unknotted simple closed curves $Q, X, Z, T, U$ respectively.

Let $Y$ denote the core of the solid torus $\operatorname{cl}\left(S^{3}-M_{i}\right)$. We associate to Form a) of Figure 3 the link $L=Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y$. We associate to Form b) of Figure 3 the link $L=Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y \cup E \cup X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$. We associate to Form c) of Figure 3 the link $L=Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y \cup E \cup T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup U_{1} \cup U_{2}$. Figure 4 illustrates the three forms of the link $L$.

The software program SnapPea (available at http://www.geometrygames. org/SnapPea/index.html) can be used to determine whether or not a given knot or link in $S^{3}$ is hyperbolic, and if so SnapPea estimates the hyperbolic volume of the complement. We used SnapPea to verify that each of the three forms of the link $L$ illustrated in Figure 4 is hyperbolic.

A 3-manifold is unchanged by doing Dehn surgery on an unknot if the boundary slope of the surgery is the reciprocal of an integer (though such surgery may change a knot or link in the manifold). According to Thurston's


Figure 4. The possible forms of the link $L$.

Hyperbolic Dehn Surgery Theorem [1, 5], all but finitely many Dehn fillings of a hyperbolic link complement yield a hyperbolic manifold. Thus there is some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $m \geq r$, if we do Dehn filling with slope $\frac{1}{m}$ along the components $X_{1}, X_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ of the link $L$ in form b) or along the components $T_{1}, T_{2}, U_{1}, U_{2}$ of the link $L$ in form c), then we obtain a hyperbolic link $\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y \cup E$, where the simple closed curves $\bar{Q}_{1}$ and $\overline{Q_{2}}$ are obtained by adding $m$ full twists to $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ in place of each of the surgered curves.

We fix the value of $r$ according to the above paragraph, and this is the value of $r$ that we use in Figure 1. Recall that the number of twists $x, z$, $u$, and $t$ in the simple closed curves $C_{i}$ in Figure 2 are each a multiple of $r$. Thus the particular simple closed curves $C_{i}$ are determined by our choice of $r$ together with our choice of the simple closed curve $\kappa$. Now we do Dehn fillings along $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ with slope $\frac{1}{x}$, along $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ with slope $\frac{1}{z}$, along $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ with slope $\frac{1}{u}$, and along $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with slope $\frac{1}{t}$. Since $x, z, u$, and $t$ are each greater than or equal to $r$, the link $\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y \cup E$
that we obtain will be hyperbolic. In form a), $E$ is the empty set and the link $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup Y \cup E$ was already seen to be hyperbolic using SnapPea. In this case, we do no surgery and we let the simple closed curves $\bar{Q}_{1}=Q_{1}$ and $\bar{Q}_{2}=Q_{2}$. It follows that each form of $M_{i}-\left(\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup E\right)$ is a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Observe that $M_{i}-\left(\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup E\right)$ is the double of $W_{i}-\left(C_{i} \cup J_{i} \cup B_{i} \cup e_{i}\right)$.

Now that we have fixed $C_{i}$, we let $N\left(C_{i}\right), N\left(J_{i}\right), N\left(B_{i}\right)$, and $N\left(e_{i}\right)$ be pairwise disjoint regular neighborhoods of $C_{i}, J_{i}, B_{i}$, and $e_{i}$ respectively in the interior of each of the forms of the solid annulus $W_{i}$ (illustrated in Figure $2)$. We choose $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ such that it contains the union of the arcs $k_{i}$. Note that in form a) $e_{i}$ is the empty set and hence so is $N\left(e_{i}\right)$. Let $N\left(k_{i}\right)$ denote a collection of pairwise disjoint regular neighborhoods one containing each $\operatorname{arc}$ of $k_{i}$ such that $N\left(k_{i}\right) \subseteq N\left(B_{i}\right)$. Let $V_{i}=\operatorname{cl}\left(W_{i}-\left(N\left(C_{i}\right) \cup N\left(J_{i}\right) \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.N\left(B_{i}\right) \cup N\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$ ), let $\Delta=\operatorname{cl}\left(N\left(B_{i}\right)-N\left(k_{i}\right)\right)$, and let $V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i} \cup \Delta$. Since $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ is a solid annulus, it has a product structure $D^{2} \times I$. Without loss of generality, we assume that each of the components of $N\left(k_{i}\right)$ respects the product structure of $N\left(B_{i}\right)$. Thus $\Delta=F \times I$ where $F$ is a disk with holes.

Definition 2. Let $X$ be a 3-manifold. A sphere in $X$ is said to be essential if it does not bound a ball in $X$. A properly embedded disk $D$ in $X$ is said to be essential if $\partial D$ does not bound a disk in $\partial X$. A properly embedded annulus is said to be essential if it is incompressible and not boundary parallel. A torus in $X$ is said to be essential if it is incompressible and not boundary parallel.

Lemma 1. For each $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential torus, sphere, or disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Also, any incompressible annulus in $V_{i}^{\prime}$ whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$ is either boundary parallel or can be expressed as $\sigma \times I$ (possibly after a change in parameterization of $\Delta$ ), where $\sigma$ is a non-trivial simple closed curve in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$.

Proof. Since $k_{i}$ contains at least three disjoint arcs, $F$ is a disk with at least three holes. Let $\beta$ denote the double of $\Delta$ along $\Delta \cap\left(D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}\right)$. Then $\beta=F \times S^{1}$. Now it follows from Waldhausen [7] that $\beta$ contains no essential sphere or properly embedded disk, and any incompressible torus in $\beta$ can be expressed as $\sigma \times S^{1}$ (after a possible change in parameterization of $\beta$ ) where $\sigma$ is a non-trivial simple closed curve in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$.

Let $\nu$ denote the double of $V_{i}$ along $V_{i} \cap\left(D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}\right)$. Observe that $\nu \cup \beta$ is the double of $V_{i}^{\prime}$ along $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap\left(D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}\right)$. Now the interior of $\nu$ is homeomorphic to $M_{i}-\left(\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup E\right)$. Since we saw above that $M_{i}-\left(\bar{Q}_{1} \cup \bar{Q}_{2} \cup j \cup b \cup E\right)$ is hyperbolic, it follows from Thurston [5, 6] that $\nu$ contains no essential sphere or torus, or properly embedded disk or annulus.

We see as follows that $\nu \cup \beta$ contains no essential sphere and any essential torus in $\nu \cup \beta$ can be expressed (after a possible change in parameterization of $\beta$ ) as $\sigma \times S^{1}$, where $\sigma$ is a non-trivial simple closed curve in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$. Let
$\tau$ be an essential sphere or torus in $\nu \cup \beta$, and let $\gamma$ denote the torus $\nu \cap \beta$. By doing an isotopy as necessary, we can assume that $\tau$ intersects $\gamma$ in a minimal number of disjoint simple closed curves. Suppose there is a curve of intersection which bounds a disk in the essential surface $\tau$. Let $c$ be an innermost curve of intersection on $\tau$ which bounds a disk $\delta$ in $\tau$. Then $\delta$ is a properly embedded disk in either $\gamma$ or $\beta$. Since neither $\nu$ nor $\beta$ contains a properly embedded essential disk or an essential sphere, there is an isotopy of $\tau$ which removes $c$ from the collection of curves of intersection. Thus by the minimality of the number of curves in $\tau \cap \gamma$, we can assume that none of the curves in $\tau \cap \gamma$ bounds a disk in $\tau$.

Suppose that $\tau$ is an essential sphere in $\nu \cup \beta$. Since none of the curves in $\tau \cap \gamma$ bounds a disk in $\tau, \tau$ must be contained entirely in either $\nu$ or $\beta$. However, we saw above that neither $\nu$ nor $\beta$ contains any essential sphere. Thus $\tau$ cannot be an essential sphere, and hence must be an essential torus. Since $\tau \cap \gamma$ is minimal, if $\tau \cap \nu$ is non-empty, then the components of $\tau$ in $\nu$ are all incompressible annuli. However, we saw above that $\nu$ contains no essential annuli. Thus $\tau \cap \nu$ is empty. Since $\nu$ contains no essential torus, the essential tori $\tau$ must be contained in $\beta$. Hence $\tau$ can be expressed (after a possible change in parameterization of $\beta$ ) as $\sigma \times S^{1}$, where $\sigma$ is a non-trivial simple closed curve in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$.

Now we consider essential surfaces in $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains an essential sphere $S$. Since $\nu \cap \beta$ contains no essential sphere, $S$ bounds a ball $B$ in $\nu \cap \beta$. Now the ball $B$ cannot contain any of the boundary components of $\nu \cap \beta$. Thus $B$ cannot contain either $D_{i}$ or $D_{i+1}$. Since $S$ is disjoint from $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$, it follows that $B$ must be disjoint from $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Thus $B$ is contained in $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Hence $V_{i}^{\prime}$ cannot contain an essential sphere.

We see as follows that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ cannot contain an essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Let $\epsilon$ be a disk in $V_{i}^{\prime}$ whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Let $\epsilon^{\prime}$ denote the double of $\epsilon$ in $\nu \cup \beta$. Then $\epsilon^{\prime}$ is a sphere which meets $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$ in the simple closed curve $\partial \epsilon$. Since $\nu \cup \beta$ contains no essential sphere, $\epsilon^{\prime}$ bounds a ball $B$ in $\nu \cup \beta$. It follows that $B$ cannot contain any of the boundary components of $\nu \cup \beta$. Thus $B$ cannot contain any of the boundary components of $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Therefore, $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$ intersects the ball $B$ in a disk bounded by $\partial \epsilon$. Hence the simple closed curve $\partial \epsilon$ bounds a disk in $\left(D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}\right) \cap V_{i}^{\prime}$, and therefore the disk $\epsilon$ was not essential in $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$.

Now suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains an essential torus $T$. Suppose that $T$ is not essential in $\nu \cup \beta$. Then either $T$ is boundary parallel or $T$ is compressible in $\nu \cup \beta$. However, $T$ cannot be boundary parallel in $\nu \cup \beta$ since $T \subseteq V_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus $T$ must be compressible in $\nu \cup \beta$. Let $\delta$ be a compression disk for $T$ in $\nu \cup \beta$. Since $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential sphere or essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$, we can use an innermost disk argument to push $\delta$ off of $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Hence $T$ is compressible in $V_{i}^{\prime}$, contrary to our initial assumption. Thus $T$ must be essential in $\nu \cup \beta$. It follows that $T$ has the form $\sigma \times S^{1}$, where $\sigma \subseteq D_{i} \cap \Delta$. However, since $\nu \cup \beta$ is the double of $V_{i}^{\prime}$, the intersection of
$\sigma \times S^{1}$ with $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is an annulus $\sigma \times I$. In particular, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ cannot contain $\sigma \times S^{1}$. Therefore, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ cannot contain an essential torus.

Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains an incompressible annulus $\alpha$ whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Let $\tau$ denote the double of $\alpha$ in $\nu \cup \beta$. Then $\tau$ is a torus. If $\tau$ is essential in $\nu \cup \beta$, then we saw above that $\tau$ can be expressed as $\sigma \times S^{1}$ (after a possible change in parameterization of $\beta$ ) where $\sigma$ is a non-trivial simple closed curve in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$. In this case, $\alpha$ can be expressed as $\sigma \times I$.

On the other hand, if $\tau$ is inessential in $\nu \cup \beta$, then either $\tau$ is parallel to a component of $\partial(\nu \cup \beta)$, or $\tau$ is compressible in $\nu \cup \beta$. If $\tau$ is parallel to a boundary component of $\nu \cup \beta$, then $\alpha$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of $W_{i}, N\left(J_{i}\right), N\left(e_{i}\right), N\left(B_{i}\right)$, or one of the boundary components of $N\left(k_{i}\right)$.

Thus we suppose that the torus $\tau$ is compressible in $\nu \cup \beta$. In this case, it follows from an innermost loop outermost arc argument that either the annulus $\alpha$ is compressible in $V_{i}^{\prime}$ or $\alpha$ is $\partial$-compressible in $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Since we assumed $\alpha$ was incompressible in $V_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha$ must be $\partial$-compressible in $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Now according to a lemma of Waldhausen [7], if a 3-manifold contains no essential sphere or properly embedded essential disk, then any annulus which is incompressible but boundary compressible must be boundary parallel. We saw above that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential sphere or essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Since the boundary of the incompressible annulus $\alpha$ is contained in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$, it follows from Waldhausen's Lemma that $\alpha$ is boundary parallel in $V_{i}^{\prime}$.

It follows from Lemma 1 that for any $i$, any incompressible annulus in $V_{i}^{\prime}$ whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$ is either parallel to an annulus in $D_{i}$ or $D_{i+1}$ or co-bounds a solid annulus in the solid annulus $W_{i}$ with ends in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Recall that $\kappa$ is a simple closed curve in $\Gamma_{1}$ such that $\kappa \cap W_{i}=k_{i} \cup e_{i}$. Also $J=J_{1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{n}$. Let $N(\kappa)$ and $N(J)$ be regular neighborhoods of the simple closed curves $\kappa$ and $J$ respectively, such that for each $i, N(\kappa) \cap W_{i}=$ $N\left(k_{i}\right) \cup N\left(e_{i}\right)$, and $N(J) \cap W_{i}=N\left(J_{i}\right)$. Recall that $V=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{n}$. Thus $\operatorname{cl}\left(V-\left(N\left(C_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup N\left(C_{n}\right) \cup N(J) \cup N(\kappa)\right)=V_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{n}^{\prime}\right.$.

Proposition 1. $H=\operatorname{cl}\left(V-\left(N\left(C_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup N\left(C_{n}\right) \cup N(J) \cup N(\kappa)\right)\right.$ contains no essential sphere or torus.

Proof. Suppose that $S$ is an essential sphere in $H$. Without loss of generality, $S$ intersects the collection of disks $D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$ transversely in a minimal number of simple closed curves. By Lemma 1 , for each $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential sphere or essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Thus the sphere $S$ cannot be entirely contained in one $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $c$ be an innermost curve of intersection on $S$. Then $c$ bounds a disk $\delta$ in some $V_{i}^{\prime}$. However, since the number of curves of intersection is minimal, $\delta$ must be essential, contrary to Lemma 1. Hence $H$ contains no essential sphere.

Suppose $T$ is an incompressible torus in $H$. We show as follows that $T$ is parallel to some boundary component of $H$. Without loss of generality, the torus $T$ intersects the collection of disks $D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$ transversely in a minimal number of simple closed curves. By Lemma 1, for each $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no essential torus, essential sphere, or essential disk whose boundary is in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Thus the torus $T$ cannot be entirely contained in one $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Also, by the minimality of the number of curves of intersection, we can assume that if $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is nonempty, then it consists of a collection of incompressible annuli in $V_{i}^{\prime}$ whose boundary components are in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 1, each such annulus is either boundary parallel or is contained in $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ and can be expressed (after a possible change in parameterization of $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ ) as $\sigma_{i} \times I$ for some non-trivial simple closed curve $\sigma_{i}$ in $D_{i} \cap \Delta$. If some annulus component of $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is parallel to an annulus in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$, then we could remove that component by an isotopy of $T$. Thus we can assume that each annulus in $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of one of the solid annuli $W_{i}, N\left(J_{i}\right)$, or $N\left(e_{i}\right)$, or can be expressed as $\sigma_{i} \times I$. In any of these cases the annulus co-bounds a solid annulus in $W_{i}$ with ends in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$.

Consider some $i$, such that $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is non-empty. Hence it contains an incompressible annulus $A_{i}$ which has one of the above forms. By the connectivity of the torus $T$, either there is an incompressible annulus $A_{i+1} \subseteq V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cap T$ such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ share a boundary component, or there is an incompressible annulus $A_{i-1} \subseteq V_{i-1}^{\prime} \cap T$, such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i-1}$ share a boundary component, or both. We will assume, without loss of generality, that there is an incompressible annulus $A_{i+1} \subseteq V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cap T$ such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ share a boundary component. Now it follows that $A_{i}$ co-bounds a solid annulus $F_{i}$ in $W_{i}$ with ends in $D_{i} \cup D_{i+1}$, and $A_{i+1}$ co-bounds a solid annulus $F_{i+1}$ in $W_{i+1}$ together with two disks in $D_{i+1} \cup D_{i+2}$. Hence the solid annuli $F_{i}$ and $F_{i+1}$ meet in one or two disks in $D_{i+1}$.

We consider several cases where $A_{i}$ is parallel to some boundary component of $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Suppose that $A_{i}$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of the solid annulus $N\left(J_{i}\right)$. Then the solid annulus $F_{i}$ contains $N\left(J_{i}\right)$ and is disjoint from the $\operatorname{arcs} k_{i}$ and $e_{i}$. Now the $\operatorname{arcs} J_{i}$ and $J_{i+1}$ share an endpoint contained in $F_{i} \cap F_{i+1}$, and there is no endpoint of any arc of $k_{i}$ or $e_{i}$ in $F_{i} \cap F_{i+1}$. It follows that the solid annulus $F_{i+1}$ contains the arc $J_{i+1}$ and contains no arcs of $k_{i+1}$. Hence by Lemma 1, the incompressible annulus $A_{i+1}$ must be parallel to $\partial N\left(J_{i+1}\right)$. Continuing from one $V_{i}^{\prime}$ to the next, we see that in this case, $T$ is parallel to $\partial N(J)$.

Suppose that $A_{i}$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of the solid annulus $\partial N\left(e_{i}\right)$ or one of the solid annuli in $\partial N\left(k_{i}\right)$. Using an argument similar to the above paragraph, we see that $A_{i+1}$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of the solid annulus $\partial N\left(e_{i+1}\right)$ or one of the solid annuli in $\partial N\left(k_{i+1}\right)$. Continuing as above, we see that in this case $T$ is parallel to $\partial N(\kappa)$.

Suppose that the annulus $A_{i}$ is parallel to the annulus boundary component of the solid annulus $W_{i}$. Then the solid annulus $F_{i}$ contains all of the arcs of $J_{i}, k_{i}$, and $e_{i}$. It follows as above that the solid annulus $F_{i+1}$ contains the arc $J_{i+1}$ and some arcs of $k_{i+1} \cup e_{i+1}$. Thus by Lemma $1, A_{i+1}$ must be parallel to the annulus boundary component of the solid annulus $W_{i+1}$. Continuing in this way, we see that in this case $T$ is parallel to $\partial V$.

Thus we now assume that no component of any $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is parallel to an annulus boundary component of $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Hence if any $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is non-empty, then by Lemma $1, V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ consists of disjoint incompressible annuli in $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ which can each be expressed (after a possible re-parametrization of $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ ) as $\sigma_{i} \times I$ for some non-trivial simple closed curve $\sigma_{i} \subseteq D_{i} \cap \Delta$. Choose $i$ such that $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is non-empty. Since $N\left(B_{i}\right)$ is a solid annulus, there is an innermost incompressible annulus $A_{i}$ of $N\left(B_{i}\right) \cap T$. Now $A_{i}$ bounds a solid annulus $F_{i}$ in $N\left(B_{i}\right)$, and $F_{i}$ contains more than one arc of $k_{i}$. Since $A_{i}$ is innermost in $N\left(B_{i}\right), \operatorname{int}\left(F_{i}\right)$ is disjoint from $T$. Now there is an incompressible annulus $A_{i+1}$ in $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cap T$, such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ meet in a circle in $D_{i+1}$. Furthermore, this circle bounds a disk in $D_{i+1}$ which is disjoint from $T$, and by our assumption is contained in $N\left(B_{i}\right)$. Thus by Lemma 1, the incompressible annulus $A_{i+1}$ has the form $\sigma_{i+1} \times I$ for some non-trivial simple closed curve $\sigma_{i+1} \subseteq D_{i+1} \cap \Delta$. Thus $A_{i+1}$ bounds a solid annulus $F_{i+1}$ in $N\left(B_{i+1}\right)$, and $\operatorname{int}\left(F_{i+1}\right)$ is also disjoint from $T$. We continue in this way considering consecutive annuli to conclude that for every $j$, every component $A_{j}$ of $T \cap V_{j}^{\prime}$ is an incompressible annulus which bounds a solid annulus $F_{j}$ whose interior is disjoint from $T$.

Recall that $V=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{n}$ is a solid torus. Let $Q$ denote the component of $V-T$ which is disjoint from $\partial V$. Then $Q$ is the union of the solid annuli $F_{j}$. Since some $F_{i}$ contains some arcs of $k_{i}$, the simple closed curve $\kappa$ must be contained in $Q$.

Recall that the simple closed curve $\kappa$ contains at least three vertices of the embedded graph $\Gamma_{1}$. Also each vertex of $\kappa$ is contained in some arc $e_{j}$. Since each such $e_{j} \subseteq \kappa \subseteq Q$, some component $F_{j}$ of $Q \cap W_{j}$ contains the arc $e_{j}$. By our assumption, for any $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ which is non-empty, $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ consists of disjoint incompressible annuli in $N\left(B_{i}\right)$. In particular, $V_{j} \cap T \subseteq N\left(B_{i}\right)$. Now the annulus boundary of $F_{j}$ is contained in $N\left(B_{j}\right)$, and hence $F_{j} \subseteq N\left(B_{j}\right)$. But this is impossible since $e_{j} \subseteq F_{j}$ and $e_{j}$ is disjoint from $N\left(B_{j}\right)$. Hence our assumption that no component of any $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap T$ is parallel to an annulus boundary component of $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is wrong. Thus, as we saw in the previous cases, $T$ must be parallel to a boundary component of $H$. Therefore $H$ contains no essential annulus.

Recall that the value of $r$, the simple closed curves, and the manifold $H$, all depend on the particular choice of simple closed curve $\kappa$. In the following theorem, we do not fix a particular $\kappa$, so none of the above are fixed.

Theorem 1. Every graph can be embedded in $S^{3}$ in such a way that every non-trivial knot in the embedded graph is hyperbolic.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph, and let $n \geq 3$ be an odd number such that $G$ is a minor of the complete graph on $n$ vertices $K_{n}$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be the embedding of $K_{n}$ given in our preliminary construction. Then, $\Gamma_{1}$ contains at most finitely many simple closed curves, $\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{m}$. For each $\kappa_{j}$, we use Thurston's Hyperbolic Dehn Surgery Theorem $[1,5]$ to choose an $r_{j}$ in the same manner that we chose $r$ after we fixed a particular simple closed curve $\kappa$. Now let $R=\max \left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right\}$, and let $R$ be the value of $r$ in Figure 1. This determines the simple closed curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$.

Let $P=\operatorname{cl}\left(V-\left(N\left(C_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup N\left(C_{n}\right) \cup N(J)\right)\right)$ where $V$ and $J$ are given in our preliminary construction. Then the embedded graph $\Gamma_{1} \subseteq P$. For each $j=1, \ldots m$, let $H_{j}=\operatorname{cl}\left(P-N\left(\kappa_{j}\right)\right)$. It follows from Proposition 1 that each $H_{j}$ contains no essential sphere or torus. Since each $H_{j}$ has more than three boundary components, no $H_{j}$ can be Seifert fibered. Hence by Thurston's Hyperbolization Theorem [6], every $H_{j}$ is a hyperbolic manifold.

We will glue solid tori $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n+2}$ to $P$ along its $n+2$ boundary components $\partial V, \partial N\left(C_{1}\right), \ldots, \partial N\left(C_{n}\right)$, and $\partial N(J)$ to obtain a closed manifold $\bar{P}$ as follows. For each $j$, any gluing of solid tori along the boundary components of $P$ defines a Dehn filling of $H_{j}=\operatorname{cl}\left(P-N\left(\kappa_{j}\right)\right)$ along all of its boundary components except $\partial N\left(\kappa_{j}\right)$. Since each $H_{j}$ is hyperbolic, by Thurston's Hyperbolic Dehn Surgery Theorem [1, 5], all but finitely many such Dehn fillings of $H_{j}$ result in a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Furthermore, since $P$ is obtained by removing solid tori from $S^{3}$, for any integer $q$, if we attach the solid tori $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n+2}$ to $P$ with slope $\frac{1}{q}$, then $\bar{P}=S^{3}$. In this case each $H_{j} \cup Y_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Y_{n+2}$ is the complement of a knot in $S^{3}$. There are only finitely many $H_{j}$ 's, and for each $j$, only finitely many slopes $\frac{1}{q}$ are excluded by Thurston's Hyperbolic Dehn Surgery Theorem. Thus there is some integer $q$ such that if we glue the solid tori $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n+2}$ to any of the $H_{j}$ along $\partial N\left(C_{1}\right), \ldots, \partial N\left(C_{n}\right), \partial N(J), \partial V$ with slope $\frac{1}{q}$, then we obtain the complement of a hyperbolic knot in $S^{3}$.

Let $\Gamma_{2}$ denote the re-embedding of $\Gamma_{1}$, obtained as a result of gluing the solid tori $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n+2}$ to the boundary components of $P$ with slope $\frac{1}{q}$. Now $\Gamma_{2}$ is an embedding of $K_{n}$ in $S^{3}$ such that every non-trivial knot in $\Gamma_{2}$ is hyperbolic. Now there is a minor $G^{\prime}$ of the embedded graph $\Gamma_{2}$ which is an embedding of our original graph $G$, such that every non-trivial knot in $G^{\prime}$ is hyperbolic.
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