Title VII Coding Sheet District Courts 2003(updated 7/1/2004) 13 Plaintiff's Race

		13	1 Iun	itill 5 Racc
Date	Coded: month; day; year 2004		1	White, not of Hispanic origin
Duit	coded: month, day, year 2001		2	Black
			3	Hispanic
01	Coder		4	Asian or Pacific Islander
	1 Becky Kinlein		5	Multi-racial individual
	2 Jason Loring		6	Multi-racial group
			7	Middle-Eastern descent
02	Case Number (from master list)		,	
02	(Holli master list)		99	Non-ascertainable
_			8	Native American
Case	Name:		_	a- a 1
		14	Тур	e of Defendants
03	Publication of Case:		1	All private employer
03	0 Published in West Reporter; full precedential		2	All federal government
			3	All state or local government
	value			•
	1 Not published; on-line	15	Plair	ntiff's Occupation
		13	1	Administrative
04	Federal District Number		2	
	(from attached sheet)			Technical
05	(3	Clerical
	Decision Date		4	Other white collar
03			5	Blue collar (including supervisory blue)
	year: 2003; month; day		6	Law enforcement/firefighter
			99	Non-ascertainable
06	EEOC or DOJ a Party		Job T	itle
	0 No			
	1 Yes	16	Plair	ntiff's Union Membership
	1 100		0	No/Non-ascertainable
0.7	DI LUCCE C		1	Yes
07	Plaintiff Pro Se		1	100
	0 No/Non-ascertainable	17	Dlois	atiff 's Thoomy
	1 Yes	1 /		ntiff 's Theory
			1	Disparate impact only
08	Plaintiff Represented by Public Interest		2	Disparate treatment only
00	± • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3	Both disparate impact and disparate
	Organization			treatment
	0 No		99	Non-ascertainable
	1 Yes			
		18-24	4 Plain	tiff's Allegations of Discrimination
09	Amicus Filings by Public Interest			o; (1) Yes
0,5	•	18		
	Organization(s)	19		_
	0 No	20		
	1 Yes			
		21		
10	District Judge:	22		
	3	23		
11	Have many managers and the ampleyon	24		Religion
11	How many persons sued the employer?			
	(certified class action = 99)	25	Proc	edural posture of court's decision on
			race	employment discrimination claim(s).
12	Plaintiff's Gender		1	Rule 12 Motion for Dismissal
	1 Female		2	
	2 Male		3	Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment
	Both (at least 1 female and at least 1 male)		3	Motion for Directed Verdict/JMOL during
	99 Non-ascertainable		4	jury trial (Rule 50(a))
	77 INOH-ascertainaute		4	Motion for JNOV/JMOL after jury trial
			_	(Rule 50(b))
			5	Memorandum Order following bench trial
			6	Motion for New Trial (Rule 59)
			7	Other post trial motions
			99	Non-ascertainable

26-36	Outcome of Plaintiff's Claim:	44	Were punitive damages requested on the
	(1) Pro-P; (2) Pro-D in whole; (98) Not applicable		race employment discrimination claim(s)?
26	Failure to hire		0 No/non-ascertainable
27	Demotion		1 Yes
28	Failure to promote	Fou th	e race employment discrimination claim(s), circle all
29	Failure to reinstate		of relief granted and list any amount awarded:
30	Harassment/Hostile Work	<i>J</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s, , 8
	Environment (pre-opposition)	45	Attorney's fees awarded:
31	Retaliation (post-opposition)		
32	Discharge	46	Backpay awarded:
33	Constructive discharge		
34	Terms and conditions – pay related	47	Costs to plaintiff:
35	Terms and conditions – not pay	40	-
	related	48	Front pay awarded:
36-39	Outcome of Defenses:	49	Permanent injunction
	(1) Pro-P; (2) Pro-D in whole; (98) Not applicable	50	Doubles in a marketing of
36	Legitimate, non-discriminatory	50	Preliminary injunction
	reason	51	Nominal damages:
37	Bona fide occupational qualification	31	Noniniai damages.
38	Failure to demonstrate prima facie	52	Punitive damages:
	case		
39	EEOC procedural requirements	53	Reinstatement
40	Was there a trial on the race employment	60	Other:
	discrimination claim(s)?		
	No trial; decided on pre-trial motions		te how the District Court handled the following listed
	Yes; bench trial onlyYes; jury trial only	claims	
	Yes; jury trial onlyYes; both bench and jury trial		O Claim not discussed in opinion or decided in a prior proceeding
	1 co, com consuma jary mar		1 Held for plaintiff in whole or in part
41	On the disparate treatment claim, how did		2 Held for defendant in whole
	the court rule?	54	1983– race/national origin/color
	0 Denied claim	55	State civil rights claim(s)
	1 Upheld claim, in whole or in part	56	State tort claim(s)
	98 Not applicable	57	Federal sex discrimination claim(s)
	99 Non-ascertainable	58	Federal disability discrimination
42	On the disparate impact claim, how did the		claim(s)
72	court rule?	59	Federal age discrimination claim(s)
	0 Denied claim		
	1 Upheld claim, in whole or in part	61	Did plaintiff file a motion for summary
	98 Not applicable		judgment on Title VII claims and win that
	99 Non-ascertainable		motion in whole or in part?
			0 No
43	Were costs awarded to defendant?		1 Yes
	0 No	<i>(</i> 2	4.0 1 1 11 1 1 1 1001
		h)	After the court ruled did plaintiff have any
	1 Yes	62	After the court ruled, did plaintiff have any remaining Title VII claims based on
	1 Yes Amount:	62	remaining Title VII claims based on
	1 Yes	62	